Postal Regulatory Commission Submitted 8/20/2014 2:48:56 PM Filing ID: 90234 Accepted 8/20/2014

## BEFORE THE POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268–0001

COMPETITIVE PRODUCT PRICES

PRIORITY MAIL

PRIORITY MAIL CONTRACT 89

Docket No. MC2014-39

COMPETITIVE PRODUCT PRICES
PRIORITY MAIL CONTRACT 89 (MC2014-39)
NEGOTIATED SERVICE AGREEMENT

Docket No. CP2014-72

## RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO REQUEST FOR SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

(August 20, 2014)

The Postal Service hereby provides its response to the Commission's request for supplemental information, contained in Order No. 2161 (issued August 19, 2014).

Responses were due no later than August 25, 2014. The question is reprinted verbatim in the attached, and is followed by the Postal Service's response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr. Chief Counsel, Pricing and Product Support

Elizabeth A. Reed

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260-1137 (202) 268-3179, Fax -6187 elizabeth.a.reed@usps.gov
August 20, 2014

## RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO REQUEST FOR SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

1. The public portion of the contract submitted with the Request states the quarterly average volumes will be "based on the total number of quarters from the Effective Date (as defined in Section II below) of this contract *divided by* the total number of Contract Packages." (Emphasis supplied.) *Id.*, Attachment B at 2. Rather, it appears the quarterly average volumes should be calculated using the total number of quarters "divided into" the total number of contract packages. The Postal Service is requested to clarify how quarterly average volumes will be calculated under the contract and, if necessary, file an amendment to the contract.

## **RESPONSE:**

Confirmed that the calculation should be "divided into," not "divided by." The Postal Service will file an amendment correcting this typographical error as soon as possible.